# BDCP Effects Analysis Review: Regulatory Overview

October 25, 2011

David Zippin, ICF International

### REGULATORY FRAMEWORK

- Effects analysis must satisfy
  - Federal ESA (Section 10 and 7)
  - State NCCP Act
- BDCP effects analysis will support
  - Federal NEPA analysis
  - State CEQA analysis



#### REGULATORY FRAMEWORK: FEDERAL

BAY DELTA CONSERVATION PLAN

#### ESA Section 10

- Must determine expected levels of "take" of covered activities
- Must determine effects of taking and beneficial effects of conservation strategy (net effects)
- Used to determine whether taking will appreciably reduce the likelihood of survival and recovery (jeopardy standard)
- Used to determine whether conservation strategy is minimizing and mitigating to the maximum extent practicable

#### REGULATORY FRAMEWORK: FEDERAL

(CONT'D)

- ESA Section 7
  - Address needs of:
    - Bureau of Reclamation (CVP)
    - USFWS and NMFS (internal consultation)
  - Must determine effect of Federal action, including direct, indirect, cumulative effects
  - Jeopardy standard also applies
  - Must evaluate effects on designated critical habitat



#### REGULATORY FRAMEWORK: STATE

- Must support CDFG findings for NCCP permit
  - "Conservation" of each covered species (contribute to species recovery)
  - Many other findings, e.g.:
    - Maintain ecological integrity
    - Maintain ecosystem function
    - Preserve biological diversity
    - Sustainable populations of covered species
  - Similar jeopardy standard to ESA



## QUESTIONS FOR SCIENCE PANEL

- Are methods used and proposed appropriate for the goals of the analysis?
- Are reasonable assumptions used?
- Are results interpreted correctly?
  - Have we adequately considered uncertainty or method limitations?
- Are conclusions justified?
  - Logical? Properly cited?